

## **Chern–Simons Action on a Finite Point Space**

**Liangzhong Hu<sup>1,2</sup> and Adonai S. Sant’Anna<sup>1</sup>**

*Received*

---

We apply Connes’ noncommutative geometry to a finite point space. The explicit Chern–Simons action on this finite point space is obtained.

---

**KEY WORDS:** Chern–Simons action; Fredholm module; finite point space.

### **1. INTRODUCTION**

Discrete spaces and corresponding physical theories have been discussed extensively in the literature. See, for example, Bombelli *et al.* (1987), Feynman (1982), Finkelstein (1969), Minsky (1982), Ruark (1931), Snyder (1947), ’t Hooft (1990), and Yamamoto (1984, 1985). In the framework of Connes’ noncommutative geometry (Connes, 1985, 1994), finite spaces have been considered to build models in particle physics (Chamseddine *et al.*, 1993; Chamseddine and Connes, 1996, 1997; Connes, 1990, 1995, 1996; Connes and Lott, 1990; Coquereaux *et al.*, 1991; Kastler, 1993, 1996; Varilly and Gracia-Bondia, 1993). Differential calculus and gauge theories on finite spaces or finite groups were proposed in Cammarata and Coquereaux (1995), Dimakis and Müller-Hoissen (1994a,b), Krajewski (1998), Paschke and Sitarz (1996), Sitarz (1992, 1995) and references therein. The explicit actions of gauge fields on finite point spaces were obtained in Hu (2000) and Hu and Sant’Anna (2002, 2003).

In this paper we apply Connes’ noncommutative geometry to a finite point space. The explicit Chern–Simons action on this finite point space is obtained.

### **2. DIFFERENTIAL CALCULUS ON A $n$ -POINT SPACE**

We briefly review the differential calculus on a  $n$ -point space. More detailed account of the construction can be found in Cammarata and Coquereaux (1995),

<sup>1</sup>Department of Mathematics, Federal University of Paraná, C. P. 019081, Curitiba, PR, Brazil.

<sup>2</sup>To whom correspondence should be addressed at Department of Mathematics, Federal University of Paraná, C. P. 019081, Curitiba, PR 81531-990, Brazil; e-mail: hu@mat.ufpr.br.

Dimakis and Müller-Hoissen (1994a,b), Hu (2000), and Hu and Sant'Anna (2002, 2003).

Let  $M$  be a space of  $n$  points  $i_1, \dots, i_n$  ( $n < \infty$ ), and  $\mathcal{A}$  the algebra of complex functions on  $M$  with  $(fg)(i) = f(i)g(i)$ . Let  $p_i \in \mathcal{A}$  defined by

$$p_i(j) = \delta_{ij}. \tag{1}$$

It follows that  $p_i$  is a projector in  $\mathcal{A}$  ( $i = 1, \dots, n$ ). Each  $f \in \mathcal{A}$  can be written as

$$f = \sum_i f(i)p_i,$$

where  $f(i) \in \mathbb{C}$ , a complex number. The algebra  $\mathcal{A}$  can be extended to a universal differential algebra  $\Omega(\mathcal{A}) = \bigoplus_{r=0}^{\infty} \Omega^r(\mathcal{A})$  (where  $\Omega^0(\mathcal{A}) = \mathcal{A}$ ) via the action of a linear operator  $d : \Omega^r(\mathcal{A}) \rightarrow \Omega^{r+1}(\mathcal{A})$  satisfying

$$d1 = 0, \quad d^2 = 0, \quad d(\omega_r \omega') = (d\omega_r)\omega' + (-1)^r \omega_r d\omega',$$

where  $\omega_r \in \Omega^r(\mathcal{A})$ . 1 is the unit in  $\Omega(\mathcal{A})$ .

From the above properties, the set of projectors  $p_i$  satisfy the following relations:

$$p_i dp_j = -(dp_i)p_j + \delta_{ij} dp_i, \tag{2}$$

$$\sum_i dp_i = 0. \tag{3}$$

$\Omega(\mathcal{A})$  is an involutive algebra given by

$$(a_0 da_1 \cdots da_n)^* = da_n^* \cdots da_1^* a_0^*,$$

where  $a_0, a_1, \dots, a_n \in \mathcal{A}$ .

The universal first order differential calculus  $\Omega^1$  is generated by  $p_i dp_j$  ( $i \neq j$ ),  $i, j = 1, 2, \dots, n$ . Notice that  $p_i dp_i$  is the linear combinations of  $p_i dp_j$  ( $i \neq j$ ). The compositions of  $p_i dp_j$  ( $i \neq j$ ),  $i, j = 1, 2, \dots, n$ , generate the higher order universal differential calculus on  $M$ .

Let  $\mathcal{E} = \mathcal{A}^m$  be a free  $\mathcal{A}$ -module. A connection on  $\mathcal{E}$  is a linear map  $\nabla : \mathcal{E} \rightarrow \mathcal{E} \otimes_{\mathcal{A}} \Omega^1(\mathcal{A})$  such that

$$\nabla(\Psi a) = (\nabla\Psi)a + \Psi \otimes da, \tag{4}$$

for all  $\Psi \in \mathcal{E}, a \in \mathcal{A}$ .

Any connection on  $\mathcal{E}$  is of the form  $\nabla = d + A$  with  $A^* = -A$ .  $A$  is called a connection 1-form. We can regard  $A$  as an element of  $M_m(\mathcal{A}) \otimes_{\mathcal{A}} \Omega^1(\mathcal{A})$ . Here  $M_m(\mathcal{A})$  is a  $m \times m$  matrix algebra over  $\mathcal{A}$ .  $A$  can be written as  $A = \sum_{i,j} A_{ij} p_i dp_j$  with  $A_{ij} \in M_m(\mathbb{C})$ , a  $m \times m$  complex matrix, and  $A_{ii} = 0$ , a  $m \times m$  zero matrix. Especially,  $A^* = -\sum_{i,j} A_{ji}^* p_i dp_j$ . From  $A^* = -A$ , we have

$$A_{ij}^* = A_{ji}. \tag{5}$$

Notice that the structure of  $A_{ij}$  is independent of the universal differential algebra  $\Omega(\mathcal{A})$  over  $M$ . This means

$$A = \sum_{i,j} A_{ij} p_i dp_j = \sum_{i,j} p_i dp_j A_{ij}. \tag{6}$$

Let  $G \subset \text{End } \mathcal{A}(\mathcal{E}) = M_m(\mathcal{A})$  be a gauge group of  $\mathcal{E}$ . Then  $G = \sum_i G_i p_i$  with  $G_i \subset M_m(\mathbb{C})$ . Notice that

$$G_1 = G_2 = \dots = G_n = G. \tag{7}$$

There is a natural action of  $G$  on the space of connections given by

$$\nabla' = g \nabla g^{-1} : \Psi \mapsto g \nabla(g^{-1} \Psi),$$

with  $\Psi \in \mathcal{E}$  and  $g \in G$ . The connection 1-form  $A$  satisfies

$$A' = g A g^{-1} + g d g^{-1}. \tag{8}$$

Here  $g = \sum_i g_i p_i \in G$ , and  $g_i \in G_i = G$ .

The curvature of  $\nabla$  is defined by  $F = \nabla^2$ . It follows that

$$F = dA + A^2. \tag{9}$$

$F$  transforms in the usual way,  $F' = g F g^{-1}$ . From  $(dA)^* = -dA^* = dA$  and  $(A^2)^* = A^2$ , one has  $F^* = F$ .

The Chern–Simons action on  $M$  reads

$$S = \text{Tr } A dA + \frac{2}{3} \text{Tr } A^3. \tag{10}$$

### 3. FROM FREDHOLM MODULE TO CHERN–SIMONS ACTION ON $M$

One of the basic ideas in Connes’ noncommutative differential geometry is the Fredholm module (Connes, 1994, and references therein). Applying the Fredholm module to the universal algebra  $\Omega(\mathcal{A})$  discussed in the previous section, one can obtain an explicit Chern–Simons action on the finite space  $M$ .

The Fredholm module  $(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{H}, D)$  is composed as the following (Hu, 2000; Hu and Sant’Anna, 2002, 2003):  $\mathcal{A}$  is the algebra on  $M$  defined in the previous section.  $\mathcal{H}$  is a  $n$ -dimensional linear space over the complex field  $\mathbb{C}$ , i.e.,  $\mathcal{H}$  is just the direct sum  $\mathcal{H} = \oplus_{i=1}^n \mathcal{H}_i$ ,  $\mathcal{H}_i = \mathbb{C}$ . The action of  $\mathcal{A}$  on  $\mathcal{H}$  is given by

$$\pi(f) = \begin{pmatrix} f(1) & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & f(2) & \dots & 0 \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & f(n) \end{pmatrix},$$

with  $f \in \mathcal{A}$ .  $D$  is a Hermitian  $n \times n$  matrix with  $D_{ij} = \overline{D_{ji}}$ , and  $D_{ij}$  is a linear mapping from  $\mathcal{H}_j$  to  $\mathcal{H}_i$ . The following equality defines an involutive representation of  $\Omega(\mathcal{A})$  in  $\mathcal{H}$ ,

$$\pi(da) = [D, \pi(a)], \tag{11}$$

where  $a \in \mathcal{A}$ . To ensure the differential  $d$  satisfies

$$d^2 = 0, \tag{12}$$

one has to impose the following condition on  $D$ ,

$$D^2 = \mu^2 I, \tag{13}$$

where  $\mu$  is a real constant and  $I$  is the  $n \times n$  identity matrix. Since the diagonal elements of  $D$  commute exactly with the action of  $\mathcal{A}$ , we can ignore the diagonal elements of  $D$ , i.e.,

$$D_{ii} = 0. \tag{14}$$

The projector  $p_i$  can be expressed as a  $n \times n$  matrix,

$$(\pi(p_i))_{\alpha\beta} = \delta_{\alpha i} \delta_{\beta i}. \tag{15}$$

From Eqs. (11) and (15), it follows that

$$(\pi(p_i dp_j))_{\alpha\beta} = \delta_{\alpha i} \delta_{\beta j} D_{ij}. \tag{16}$$

*Connection matrix.* The connection matrix  $H$  on  $M$  is given by

$$H_{ij} = D_{ij}(A_{ij} + \mathbf{1}). \tag{17}$$

Here  $\mathbf{1}$  is the identity in the gauge group  $G$ , where  $G$  is defined in Eq. (7). One can find that  $H_{ij}$  is a  $m \times m$  complex matrix with  $H_{ij}^* = H_{ji}$ . This means that  $H = (H_{ij})$  is a  $n \times n$  Hermitian matrix with its elements  $m \times m$  submatrices. The diagonal elements of  $H$  satisfy

$$H_{ii} = 0. \tag{18}$$

From (8) and (17), the transformation rule of  $H_{ij}$  reads

$$H'_{ij} = g_i H_{ij} g_j^{-1}. \tag{19}$$

*Hermitian matrix D.* The Hermitian matrix  $\mathbf{D}$  is defined by

$$\mathbf{D} = (\mathbf{D}_{ij}) = (D_{ij}\mathbf{1}). \tag{20}$$

From (13), one has

$$\mathbf{D}^2 = \mu^2 \mathbf{I}, \tag{21}$$

where  $\mathbf{I} = (\mathbf{I}_{ij}) = (\delta_{ij}\mathbf{1})$ .

*Curvature matrix.* Applying the Fredholm module to the Eq. (9), one has

$$\begin{aligned} \pi(dA) &= [\mathbf{D}, H - \mathbf{D}]_+ = \mathbf{D}(H - \mathbf{D}) + (H - \mathbf{D})\mathbf{D}, \\ \pi(A^2) &= (H - \mathbf{D})^2. \end{aligned}$$

It follows that

$$\pi(F) = H^2 - \mu^2 \mathbf{I}. \tag{22}$$

$\pi(F)$  is called the curvature matrix on  $M$ . The transformation rule of  $\pi(F_{ij})$  satisfies

$$\pi(F'_{ij}) = g_i \pi(F_{ij}) g_j^{-1}. \tag{23}$$

*Bianchi identity.* From  $\pi(dF + AF - FA) = [H, \pi(F)] = [H, H^2 - \mu^2 \mathbf{I}] = 0$ , one has the Bianchi identity on the finite space  $M$

$$[H, \pi(F)] = 0. \tag{24}$$

**Theorem.** *The Chern–Simons action on a finite point space  $M$  takes the form  $S = \frac{2}{3} \text{Tr} H^3$ . Here  $H$  is the connection matrix on  $M$ .  $S$  is invariant under the gauge transformation (19).*

**Proof:** By making use of the Fredholm module, one has the following formulae,

$$\begin{aligned} \pi(AdA) &= (H - \mathbf{D})[\mathbf{D}, H - \mathbf{D}]_+, \\ \text{Tr}\pi(AdA) &= 2\text{Tr}(\mathbf{D}H^2), \\ \pi(A^3) &= (H - \mathbf{D})^3, \\ \frac{2}{3}\text{Tr}\pi(A^3) &= \frac{2}{3}\text{Tr}H^3 - 2\text{Tr}(\mathbf{D}H^2). \end{aligned}$$

The action  $S$  reads

$$S = \text{Tr}\pi(AdA) + \frac{2}{3}\text{Tr}\pi(A^3) = \frac{2}{3}\text{Tr}H^3. \tag{25}$$

It is obviously that  $S$  is unchanged under the gauge transformation (19). □

*Example.* The  $U(1)$  Chern–Simons action on  $M$  also takes the form

$$S = \frac{2}{3}\text{Tr}H^3, \tag{26}$$

where  $H_{ij}$  is a complex number ( $i, j = 1, \dots, n$ ).

#### 4. DISCUSSION

By applying Connes' noncommutative geometry to a finite point space. We have obtained the explicit Chern–Simons action on this finite point space. It suggests that the cubic interaction in quantum field theory may have its geometric origin.

#### REFERENCES

- Bombelli, L., Lee, J., Meyer, D., and Sorkin, R. D. (1987). Space time as a causal set. *Physical Review Letters* **59**, 521.
- Cammarata, G. and Coquereaux, R. (1995). Comments about Higgs fields, noncommutative geometry and the standard model. In *Lecture Notes in Physics, Vol. 469*, Springer, Berlin, pp. 27–50. (hep-th/9505192).
- Chamseddine, A. H. and Connes, A. (1996). A universal action formula. *Physical Review Letters* **24**, 4868.
- Chamseddine, A. H. and Connes, A. (1997). The spectral action principle. *Communications in Mathematical Physics* **186**, 731.
- Chamseddine, A. H., Felder, G., and Fröhlich, J. (1993). Grand unification in noncommutative geometry. *Nuclear Physics B* **395**, 672.
- Connes, A. (1985). Noncommutative differential geometry. *Publications Mathématiques I. H. E. S.* **62**, 257.
- Connes, A. (1990). Essay on physics and non-commutative geometry. In *The Interface of Mathematics and Particle Physics*, D. Quillen, G. Segal, and S. Tsou, eds., Oxford University Press, Oxford, pp. 9–48.
- Connes, A. (1994). *Noncommutative Geometry*, Academic Press, New York.
- Connes, A. (1995). Noncommutative geometry and reality. *Journal of Mathematical Physics* **36**, 6194.
- Connes, A. (1996). Gravity coupled with matter and foundation of noncommutative geometry. *Communications in Mathematical Physics* **182**, 155.
- Connes, A. and Lott, J. (1990). Particle models and noncommutative geometry. *Nuclear Physics (Proceedings Supplement)* **18**, 29.
- Coquereaux, R., Esposito-Farese, G., and Vaillant, G. (1991). Higgs as Yang–Mills fields and discrete symmetries. *Nuclear Physics B* **353**, 689.
- Dimakis, A. and Müller-Hoissen, F. (1994a). Differential calculus and gauge theory on finite sets. *Journal of Physics A: Mathematical and General* **27**, 3159.
- Dimakis, A. and Müller-Hoissen, F. (1994b). Discrete differential calculus: Graphs, topologies and gauge theory. *Journal of Mathematical Physics* **35**, 6703.
- Feynman, R. P. (1982). Simulating physics with computers. *International Journal of Theoretical Physics* **21**, 467.
- Finkelstein, D. (1969). Space-time code. *Physical Review* **184**, 1261.
- Hu, L.-Z. (2000). U(1) gauge theory over discrete space-time and phase transitions (hep-th/0001148).
- Hu, L.-Z. and Sant'Anna, S. S. (2002). Connes' spectral triple and U(1) gauge theory on finite sets. *Journal of Geometry and Physics* **42**, 296.
- Hu, L.-Z. and Sant'Anna, S. S. (2003). Gauge theory on a discrete noncommutative space. *International Journal of Theoretical Physics* **42**, 635.
- Kastler, D. (1993). A detailed account of Alain Connes' version of the standard model in noncommutative geometry, I and II. *Review of Mathematical Physics* **5**, 477.
- Kastler, D. (1996). A detailed account of Alain Connes' version of the standard model in noncommutative geometry, III. *Review of Mathematical Physics* **8**, 103.

- Krajewski, T. (1998). Classification of finite spectral triples. *Journal of Geometry and Physics* **28**, 1.
- Minsky, M. (1982). Cellular vacuum. *International Journal of Theoretical Physics* **21**, 537.
- Paschke, M. and Sitarz, A. (1996). Discrete spectral triples and their symmetries. (q-alg/9612029).
- Ruark, A. (1931). The roles of discrete and continuous theories in physics. *Physical Review* **37**, 315.
- Sitarz, A. (1992). Noncommutative geometry and gauge theory on discrete groups (Preprint TPJU-7/92).
- Sitarz, A. (1995). Noncommutative geometry and gauge theory on discrete groups. *Journal of Geometry and Physics* **15**, 123.
- Snyder, H. S. (1947). Quantized space-time. *Physical Review* **71**, 38.
- 't Hooft, G. (1990). Quantization of discrete deterministic theories by Hilbert space extension. *Nuclear Physics B* **342**, 471.
- Varilly, J. C. and Gracia-Bondia, J. M. (1993). Connes' noncommutative geometry and the standard model. *Journal of Geometry and Physics* **12**, 223.
- Yamamoto, H. (1984). Quantum field theory on discrete space-time. *Physical Review D* **30**, 1727.
- Yamamoto, H. (1985). Quantum field theory on discrete space-time II. *Physical Review D* **32**, 2659.